Document donwnloaded from:

[http://redivia.gva.es/handle/20.500.11939/6411]

This paper must be cited as:

[Moce, E., Lozano-Palazon, S. A., Lopez, I., Martinez-Granell, M., Bernacer, J., Vicente, C., & Gomez, E. A. (2019, October). Cooling of goat buck sperm in refrigerated bath or in itinere: effects on in vitro sperm quality. In Reproduction in Domestic Animals (54), pp. 106-107.]



The final publication is available at

[https://doi.org/10.1111/rda.13549]

Copyright [Wiley]

Cooling of goat buck sperm in refrigerated bath or *in itinere*: effects on *in vitro* sperm quality

 $\hat{M}océ\ \hat{E}^{1*},\ \hat{Lozano}$ Palazón $SA^2,\ López\ I^1,\ Martínez-Granell\ M^1,\ Bernácer\ J^1,\ Vicente\ C^{3,4},\ Gómez\ EA^1$

¹CITA-IVIA. Segorbe (Castellón). Spain. ²ACRIMUR. Jumilla (Murcia). Spain. ³UPV. Valencia. Spain. ⁴AMURVAL. Valencia. Spain

Goat buck sperm doses are kept at 4 °C in refrigerated form. As a cold-shock sensitive species, chilling to 4 °C must be slow, which delays the doses delivery and their insemination. However, the time of transportation could be used for chilling. We studied if the sperm quality was similar between a system developed for chilling the doses during transportation and the programmable water bath (WB) in the lab. Twelve Murciano-Granadina bucks were used. The concentration in each ejaculate (n = 12) was adjusted to 560 x 10⁶ sperm/mL with skimmed milk-glucose (0.2%; w:v) and semen was loaded into 0.25 mL plastic straws that were split into two treatments: half were chilled in a WB (in 90 min; theoretical cooling rate: -0.18 °C/min) and the other half in a cooler (C; during 3h 45min; average cooling rate: -0.09 °C/min). Total motile (%TM) and progressively motile (%PM) sperm were evaluated with a CASA system and live sperm (%LS) were evaluated with SYBR14/propidium iodide in a flow cytometer, according to the protocols from Konyali et al. (2013. Cryobiology, 67: 124-131). Fresh semen presented an average quality of 74.1% \pm 2.2 TM, 49.4% \pm 2.8 PM and 64.1% \pm 2.9 LS. Straws chilled in WB and C exhibited similar TM (72.0% \pm 4.3 and 76.3% \pm 4.3) and PM sperm (50.5% \pm 5.7 and 49.5% \pm 5.7). However, straws chilled in C presented higher (P < 0.05) LS (67.8% \pm 5.2) than samples chilled in WB (55.4% \pm 5.2). In conclusion, straws chilled in the system adapted to the cooler exhibited similar percentages of motile but higher percentages of live sperm than samples chilled in the programmable water bath. Acknowledgements: AMURVAL, ACRIMUR and INIA RTA2017-00049-C02-01 and FEDER funds.