Document downloaded from:

[\[http://redivia.gva.es/handle/20.500.11939/6116\]](http://redivia.gva.es/handle/20.500.11939/6116)

This paper must be cited as:

[Cebolla, R., Urbaneja, A., van Baaren, J., & Tena, A. (2018). Negative effect of global warming on biological control is mitigated by direct competition between sympatric parasitoids. *Biological Control*, 122, 60-66.]

ivia
Institut Valencià
d'Investigacions Agràries

The final publication is available at

[\[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2018.04.006\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2018.04.006)

Copyright [Elsevier]

1 This is a pre-print of an article published in *Biological Control*. The final authenticated
2 version is available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2018.04.006>

3 Cite as: Cebolla, R., Urbaneja, A., Van Baaren, J., & Tena, A. (2018). Negative effect of global
4 warming on biological control is mitigated by direct competition between sympatric parasitoids.
5 *Biological Control*, 122, 60-66.

6

7

8 **Negative effect of global warming on biological control is mitigated by**
9 **direct competition between sympatric parasitoids**

10 **Ruth Cebolla¹, Alberto Urbaneja¹, Joan van Baaren², Alejandro Tena¹**

11¹Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias, IVIA. Centro de Protección Vegetal. Unidad Asociada de

12Entomología UJI-IVIA. Carretera CV-315, Km 10,7, 46113 Moncada (Valencia, Spain)

13²ECOBIO, Université de Rennes 1, CNRS, Av du Général Leclerc, 35042 Rennes, France

14

15

16

17

18

19 * Corresponding author: Dr. Alejandro Tena
20 Centro Protección Vegetal y Biotecnología.
21 Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias (IVIA)
22 Carretera Moncada-Náquera km 4.5.
23 46113 Moncada (Valencia, Spain)
24 Phone: (+34) 96 342 41 51
25 Fax: (+34) 96 342 40 01
26 Email: atena@ivia.es

27ABSTRACT

28Parasitoids are among the most important and successful groups of natural enemies used in the
29biological control of insect pests. In most systems, several parasitoid species can parasitize the same
30pest. The coexistence of parasitoids in agroecosystems and their efficacy as biological control agents
31may be disrupted by global warming. An increase of approximately 3°C is predicted by the end of the
32twenty-first century in the Mediterranean basin (IPCC, 2014). In this context, we compared the present
33and future performance of two sympatric parasitoids of the genus *Aphytis* (Hymenoptera:
34Aphelinidae), which control the armoured scale *Aonidiella aurantii* (Hemiptera: Diaspididae) in
35Mediterranean citrus, either alone or in combination. The net reproductive rate (R_0) of the introduced
36*Aphytis melinus* DeBach was higher than that of its competitor, the native *Aphytis chrysomphali*
37(Mercet), under current conditions. The two parasitoids responded differently to higher temperature
38and competition. The R_0 of *A. chrysomphali* decreased by 50% when both parasitoids competed in the
39same patch, but was not affected by the temperature increase. The R_0 of *A. melinus* decreased
40approximately 40% with the increase in temperature because the proportion of females was reduced.
41However, the presence of *A. chrysomphali* competing in the same patch mitigated the negative effect
42of the increase in temperature on *A. melinus* (R_0 decreased by only 20%). Overall, our results suggest
43that global warming will have a negative effect on the biological control of *A. aurantii* and that this
44effect will be higher in areas, such as southern Spain, where *A. melinus* has displaced *A. chrysomphali*.

45

46**Keywords:** *Aphytis*; *Aonidiella aurantii*; citrus; interspecific competition; displacement

1.47 INTRODUCTION

48 Due to global warming, average temperatures have risen by approximately 0.8°C since the
49 early twentieth century, and a further increase of 3°C is predicted by the end of the twenty-first
50 century for summer temperatures in the Mediterranean basin (IPCC, 2014). Because insects are
51 ectotherms, their physiology, behaviour and fitness are directly affected by ambient temperature
52 variation (Hance et al., 2007). The impact of global warming is likely to be less predictable in higher
53 trophic levels than in lower trophic levels because the former also depend on the capacity of the lower
54 trophic level to adapt to these changes (Hance et al., 2007; van Baaren et al., 2010). That impact is
55 observed for parasitoids in which immature individuals feed and develop in (endoparasitoids) or on
56 (ectoparasitoids) hosts. Parasitoids are the most important and successful group of natural enemies
57 used in the biological control of insect pests (Godfray, 1994; Jervis, 2005), and their efficacy could be
58 disrupted by changes in environmental conditions (van Baaren et al., 2010).

59 An increase in temperature can affect host-parasitoid relationships, mostly because they may
60 have different thermal preferences (developmental or phenological), which can affect the temporal or
61 geographical synchronization of the suitable instar/stage of the host with the adult parasitoids (Hance
62 et al., 2007). For parasitoids, an increase in temperature can affect their morphology (body size, wing
63 size, wing loading), fecundity, longevity, dispersal capacity, metabolism rate, trade-offs between life-
64 history traits, capacity to locate and evaluate host quality and the capacity of the larvae to evade or
65 overcome the host immune response (Hance et al., 2007; Moiroux et al., 2010; Vayssade et al., 2012;
66 Vuarin et al., 2012; van Baaren et al., 2010). Indeed, an increase in temperature may induce a number
67 of physiological changes, the cost of which may be expressed by a reduction in reproductive output,
68 decrease in growth of immature stages and in lifespan and/or changes in mating behaviour (Angilletta,
69 2009; Hance et al., 2007; Omer et al., 1996; Řežucha et al., 2010). Understanding these characteristics
70 is crucial for using parasitoids as biological control agents.

71 Because of interspecific differences in thermal responses among parasitoid species (Berg et
72 al., 2010), global warming can also have a major influence on interspecific competition between
73 species (Northfield and Ives, 2013). As such, global warming will have consequences for parasitoid

74species distributions, community compositions, and ecosystem services, i.e., biological control (Bale
75et al., 2002; Hance et al., 2007; Northfield and Ives, 2013; Tougeron et al., 2017).

76In this context, we investigated the influence of the expected temperature increase on the efficacy and
77competition of parasitoids of the genus *Aphytis* (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae), which are the most
78successful and widespread biological control agents of *Aonidiella aurantii* (Hemiptera: Diaspididae) in
79citrus (DeBach and Rosen, 1991; Forster and Luck, 1996; Murdoch et al., 2005; Pekas et al., 2016).

80These specialist parasitoids can reduce their shared host to levels nearly 200 times below the average
81density observed in their absence (DeBach et al., 1971), suggesting strong resource competition
82between parasitoid species because they parasitized the same host stages and niches (Borer et al.,
832004; Pekas et al., 2016). Species of the genus *Aphytis* represent one of the best-known cases of
84competitive displacement in insects (Luck et al., 1982; Luck and Nunney, 1999; Luck and Podoler,
851985; Pekas et al., 2016; Sorribas et al., 2010). In the Mediterranean basin, *A. aurantii* became a key
86citrus pest at the end of the last century, and it was rapidly parasitized by the native parasitoid *Aphytis*
87*chrysomphali* (Mercet). Later, its coevolved parasitoid *Aphytis melinus* DeBach was introduced into a
88classical biological control programme, and it has displaced *A. chrysomphali* in some areas, whereas
89both species coexist in others (Boyero et al., 2014; Sorribas et al., 2010). Both parasitoids are present
90in the field from February to November showing higher parasitism levels between August and
91November (highest in September) and lower in February and March (Pekas et al., 2010). Although *A.*
92*chrysomphali* reproduces parthenogenetically and produces only females (Pina, 2007; Cebolla et al.,
932017), *A. melinus* is considered to be a superior competitor in the field because it has a higher capacity
94for dispersion (McLaren, 1976) and is better adapted to dry and hot climates where citrus is cultivated
95(Abdelrahman, 1974a; Rosen and DeBach, 1979). Consequently, the relative proportion of *A. melinus*
96is higher during the warm months, and the abundance of *A. chrysomphali* increases from south to
97north, being higher in the cooler northern areas. This alteration in parasitoid dominance could be one
98of the reasons why the more efficient parasitoid *A. melinus* has not completely displaced *A.*

99*chrysomphali* in most Valencia citrus orchards (Sorribas et al., 2010). Therefore, we hypothesize that
100the superiority of *A. melinus* will be accentuated when the temperature increases due to global

warming, possibly leading to the extinction of the weaker competitor. To test this hypothesis, we performed laboratory experiments to evaluate how the increase in temperature in summer, when *A. chrysomphali* is a weaker competitor, will affect i) the fecundity and parasitism rate of both parasitoids when they exploit hosts alone or in competition and ii) the influence of temperature and competition on their efficacy as the biological control of *A. aurantii* by comparing their net reproductive rates (R_0) and host-induced mortality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Abiotic conditions and treatments

The climate data used for rearing hosts and parasitoids and for experiments was $26/20 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ (day/night) to mimic average summer temperatures in the last fifteen years in the Valencia Region (Moncada meteorological station: <http://riegos.ivia.es/>) and $29/23 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ (day/night) to mimic warmer average summer temperatures predicted by the end of the twenty-first century (IPCC, 2014) at $70 \pm 5\%$ RH and. Similarly, the photoperiod was selected to mimic the average number of light-darkness hours in summer months in the Valencia Region (Moncada meteorological station: <http://riegos.ivia.es/>), this is L:D 12:12.

The experiment consisted of four treatments in the two different abiotic conditions explained above for a total of eight combinations: 1) exploitation of 40 third-instar *A. aurantii* per patch by a single female of *A. melinus* ($n = 42$); 2) exploitation of 40 third-instar *A. aurantii* per patch by a single female of *A. chrysomphali* ($n = 46$); 3) exploitation of 40 third-instar *A. aurantii* per patch by *A. melinus* and *A. chrysomphali* simultaneously ($n = 44$); and 4) 40 third-instar *A. aurantii* (number of replicates = 37).

2.2 Insects

The phytophagous host, *Aonidiella aurantii*, was reared on lemons from a laboratory colony at the Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias, IVIA (Moncada, Valencia, Spain). This colony was initiated in 1999 from scales collected in citrus fields in Alzira (Valencia, Spain) and renewed

every 2-3 years with field-collected scales. Approximately 2/3 of the surface of each lemon was covered with red paraffin around the mid-section to retard its desiccation. The red paraffin was prepared with a mixture of 1 kg of paraffin pearls (Parafina USP Perlas; Guinama S.L., Alboraya, Spain) and 1 g of red pigment (Sudan III; Panreac Química S.A., Castellar del Vallés, Spain). The remaining surface (aprox. 24-cm² area) of the lemons was infested by exposing them to gravid female scales of the *A. aurantii* colony for 48 h at 27 ± 1°C at 70 ± 5% RH and LD 14:10. Once infested, lemons were kept in climate chambers (SANYO MLR- 350; Sanyo, Japan) at the two temperature conditions described above until female scales reached the third nymphal instar (19-25 days), which was later used for rearing the parasitoids and for the experiments (Treatments 2,3 and 4) or until females become gravid (43-50 days) for the fecundity investigation (Treatment 1).

Aphytis melinus and *A. chrysomphali* are facultative gregarious ectoparasitoids (Rosen & DeBach, 1979). Females of both species mature eggs throughout their adult life (synovigenic) and lay between 2 and 6 eggs per day (Heimpel et al., 1997; Casas et al., 2000; Tena et al., 2015). These species are also idiobibionts (i.e., the host is paralyzed and arrests development once parasitized) and feed on the haemolymph of hosts which they do not use to lay eggs. Individuals of both species were obtained by exposing third-instar *A. aurantii* on lemons to parasitism by insectary-reared adult wasps. The colonies of *A. melinus* and *A. chrysomphali* were initiated in 2008 and 2013, respectively, from *A. aurantii* scales collected in citrus fields located in the Valencia region (Valencia, Spain). Both colonies are renewed yearly with field-collected parasitoids.

For this experiment, five adults of each species were transferred to rearing cages containing third-instar *A. aurantii* on lemons reared in the two abiotic conditions (described above) and were maintained in climatic chambers at these two abiotic conditions to obtain parasitoids. Between 10-12 days later, scales were observed under binoculars and late-stage pupae of both parasitoids were removed from parasitized scales. Pupae were held in crystal vials 8 mm in diameter and 35 mm long tapped with a cotton plug and with a drop of honey on the wall. At emergence, parasitoids were held in these vials for one day to obtain mated females of *A. melinus* [*A. chrysomphali* reproduces parthenogenetically (Gottlieb et al. 1998)]. Next, parasitoids were sexed, and females were isolated in

154vials (same as above). One *A. aurantii* female was introduced daily to let them feed on the host until
155they were used 2-3 days later (Heimpel *et al.* 1997). Vials were stored in a climatic chamber at the two
156different abiotic conditions until they were used in the experiment (Treatments 2, 3 and 4).

1572.3 Experimental microcosm and measures

158 For all treatments, the experimental microcosm was composed of a polystyrene plastic box (10
159× 14×14 cm) with a lateral hole (4 × 9 cm) covered with muslin. One lemon infested with *A. aurantii*
160was introduced in the box. An acrylic cylinder (5 cm diameter) was used to hold the lemons. We used
161a dissecting microscope to select 40 scales from the lemon surface, and we removed the rest using an
162entomological pin and a paper towel moistened with water. The selected scales were $0.85 \pm 0.05 \text{ mm}^2$.
163To estimate scale sizes, photos of the scales were taken with a Leica EC 3 3.1 megapixel digital colour
164camera (Leica Microsystem GmbH, Spain), and the images were processed with Leica LAS EZ
165imaging software for Windows (Leica Microsystems GmbH, Spain).

1662.4 Effect of competition and a temperature increase on parasitoid fitness and 167biological control potential

168 For treatments 1, 2 and 3, parasitoids were introduced in the box and remained in contact with
169hosts for 72 hours. *Aphytis* parasitoids parasitize between two to five hosts per day and per female
170(Heimpel *et al.*, 1997; Pina, 2007; Cebolla *et al.*, submitted). Therefore, microcosms contained hosts
171*ad libitum* in all the treatments. A drop of honey was added to the inside wall as a food source.
172Microcosms were held in climatic chambers at one of the two abiotic conditions. Seven days after
173parasitoid removal, scale covers were carefully removed with an entomological needle under a
174binocular lens. The numbers of unparasitized (turgent), parasitized and dead hosts were counted. We
175used these data to evaluate i) the number of parasitized and ii) the dead hosts per patch. The number of
176dead was the sum of parasitized and hosts dead by unknown reasons (caused by the parasitoids or
177natural death of hosts). *Aphytis* parasitoids cause the death of their host when they host-feed and when
178they probe the host with their ovipositor even when they reject the host (Cebolla *et al.*, 2017).

179 The parasitoid pupae of each parasitized host were measured and then transferred to crystal
180vials as described above. Between one and three pupae were obtained per host. To measure the effect

181 of temperature and parasitoid competition on parasitoid size (measured as the length of the pupae),
182 only pupae of females that developed in solitary were considered because sex and brood size can
183 affect pupae size (Salt, 1940; Abdelrahman, 1974b). At emergence, parasitoids were identified and
184 sexed. *Aphytis chrysomphali* pupae are identified by the presence of a longitudinal black line on the
185 mesosternum which is not present in *A. melinus* (Rosen & DeBach, 1979). Progeny production was
186 calculated as the number of adults of each species that emerged per patch. Secondary sex ratio was
187 calculated as the proportion of males of each species per host and patch.

188 2.5 Effect of an increase in temperature on host fecundity

189 To determine the effect of an increase in temperature on host fecundity (Treatment 4), we used
190 the same methodology described by Vanaclocha et al. (2012). In detail, infested lemons were kept in
191 climatic chambers at the two abiotic conditions. Before the female scales began to produce crawlers
192 (~40 days), four scales per lemon were isolated with a double-sided sticky plastic ring (3M Scotch®;
193 CergyPontoise Cedex, France) to trap the crawlers. Sticky plastic rings were replaced twice a week
194 and the crawlers were counted under binoculars. The total number of progeny was calculated as the
195 sum of each ring per female.

196 2.6 Effect of an increase in temperature on parasitoid net reproductive rate (R0)

197 The net reproductive rate (R0) during 72 hours was compared between the two parasitoid
198 species in treatments 1, 2 (without competition) and 3 (with competition). R0 was calculated as $R0 = \sum$
199 $l_x \cdot m_x$. R0 represents the mean number of female offspring produced by each female (Birch, 1948;
200 Carey, 1993), where x is the age class, l_x is the probability of survival till class x, and m_x is the
201 fecundity of class x. Values of $R0 < 1$ indicate a declining population, $R0 > 1$ an increasing
202 population, and $R0 = 1$ a stable population (Carey, 1993). To facilitate comparison of the demographic
203 parameters, we calculated the standard errors (SE) of the demographic parameters at each temperature
204 using a jackknife algorithm described by Meyer et al. (1986). The jackknife analysis method removes
205 one observation at a time from the original dataset and recalculates the statistic of interest from the
206 truncated data. The values of R0 per female and per temperature were calculated in Microsoft Excel
207 and its numerical solver. The method can estimate R0 values with their respective jackknife variances.

2082.7 Statistical analyses

209Proportional and count data were analysed with generalized linear models (GLMs). Initially, we
210assumed a Poisson error variance for count data (number of parasitized hosts and number of progeny
211per patch) and a binomial error variance for proportional data (sex ratio and hosts killed per patch).
212We assessed the assumed error structures by a heterogeneity factor equal to the residual deviance
213divided by the residual degrees of freedom. If we detected an over- or underdispersion, we re-
214evaluated the significance of the explanatory variables using an F test after rescaling the statistical
215model by a Pearson's chi-square divided by the residual degrees of freedom (Crawley, 2007). We
216present the means of untransformed proportion and count data (in preference to less intuitive statistics
217such as the back-transformed means of logit-transformed data).

218We compared pupa size of the offspring, host fecundity and R_0 using ANOVAs. The normality
219assumption was assessed using Shapiro's test, and the homoscedasticity assumption was assessed with
220the Levene test. All data analyses were performed with the R freeware statistical package
221(<http://www.R-project.org/>).

322 RESULTS

2233.1 Effect of competition and an increase in temperature on parasitoids fitness and 224biological control potential

2253.1.1 Number of parasitized hosts

226 The number of hosts parasitized by *A. melinus* females was independent of the increase in
227temperature ($F_{1,83} = 0.34$; $P = 0.56$) and the presence of their competitor, *A. chrysomphali* ($F_{1,83} =$
2280.31; $P = 0.58$) (Fig. 1). The interaction between temperature and competition was not significant ($F_{1,}$
229 $_{82} = 0.31$; $P = 0.58$).

230 The number of hosts parasitized by *A. chrysomphali* females was independent of temperature
231($F_{1,87} = 0.15$; $P = 0.70$) but it decreased with the presence of *A. melinus* (interspecific competition) ($F_{1,}$
232 $_{87} = 13.92$; $P < 0.001$) (Fig. 1). The interaction between temperature and competition was not
233significant ($F_{1,86} = 0.74$; $P = 0.79$).

2343.1.2 Progeny production

235 The amount of progeny emerging from the hosts parasitized by *A. melinus* was independent of
236 temperature ($F_{1,83} = 0.044$; $P = 0.83$) and competition ($F_{1,83} = 0.55$; $P = 0.46$) (Fig. 2). The interaction
237 between temperature and competition was not significant ($F_{1,82} = 0.041$; $P = 0.84$).

238 The amount of progeny emerging from the hosts parasitized by *A. chrysomphali* was
239 independent of temperature ($F_{1,87} = 0.012$; $P = 0.91$) but it decreased in the presence of *A. melinus*
240 (competition) ($F_{1,87} = 13.86$; $P < 0.001$) (Fig. 2). The interaction between temperature and competition
241 was not significant ($F_{1,86} = 0.33$; $P = 0.56$).

2423.1.3 Parasitoid size

243 The pupae size of *A. melinus* and *A. chrysomphali* offspring was not affected by the increase
244 in temperature (*A. melinus*: $F_{1,46} = 2.93$; $P = 0.094$; *A. chrysomphali*: $F_{1,41} = 0.26$; $P = 0.61$) or
245 competition (*A. melinus*: $F_{1,46} = 0.31$; $P = 0.58$; *A. chrysomphali*: $F_{1,41} = 0.044$; $P = 0.83$) (Fig. 3).
246 The interaction between temperature and competition was not significant in either parasitoid species
247 (*A. melinus*: $F_{1,45} = 0.032$; $P = 0.86$; *A. chrysomphali*: $F_{1,40} = 1.54$; $P = 0.22$).

2483.1.4 Sex ratio

249 The secondary sex ratio (proportion of males out of the total emerging wasps) of *A. melinus*
250 was affected by temperature ($F_{1,65} = 5.37$; $P = 0.024$). The proportion of males increased with
251 temperature. However, sex ratio was independent of the presence of the competitor, *A. chrysomphali*
252 ($F_{1,65} = 1.83$; $P = 0.18$) (Fig. 4). The interaction between temperature and competition was not
253 significant ($F_{1,64} = 0.049$; $P = 0.83$). As expected, all emerging *A. chrysomphali* were females.

2543.1.5 Host mortality

255 In the absence of competition, the number of *A. aurantii* hosts killed by *A. melinus* and *A.*
256*chrysomphali* was not affected by the increase in temperature (*A. melinus*: $F_{1,40} = 0.24$; $P = 0.63$; *A.*
257*chrysomphali*: $F_{1,44} = 0.028$; $P = 0.87$). The same phenomenon occurred when both parasitoids were
258competing ($F_{1,86} = 0.18$; $P = 0.68$) (Fig. 5).

2593.2 Effect of an increase in temperature on host fecundity

260*Aonidiella aurantii* fecundity, measured as the total number of crawlers, was not affected by an
261increase in temperature (20-26°C = 224.82 ± 11.99 crawlers; 23-29°C = 242.29 ± 23.37 crawlers; $F_{1,35}$
262= 0.48; $P = 0.49$).

2633.3 Effect of an increase in temperature on parasitoid net reproductive rate (R0)

264 The net reproductive rate (R0) of *A. melinus* was affected by the interaction between
265temperature and competition ($F_{1,88} = 279.73$; $P < 0.0001$) (Table 1), indicating that R0 increased with
266competition when there is a high temperature but it decreased with competition at a low temperature.
267This finding showed that the negative effect of a temperature increase is reduced in the presence of an
268inferior competitor.

269 The net reproductive rate (R0) of *A. chrysomphali* was affected by the interaction between
270temperature and competition ($F_{1,91} = 50.69$; $P < 0.0001$) (Table 1), indicating that R0 decreased with
271the increase in temperature when there was no competition but it increased with the increase in
272temperature when there was competition. This showed that the negative effect of a temperature
273increase is absent in the presence of a superior competitor.

274

475 DISCUSSION

276 The presence of the introduced parasitoid *Aphytis melinus* reduced the efficacy of the native
277*Aphytis chrysomphali* as a biological control agent of the California red scale (*Aonidiella aurantii*) by
278approximately 50% in the present conditions. The potential of *Aphytis* parasitoids was measured using

the net reproductive rate (R_0), which represents the mean number of female offspring produced by each female, considering also the probability of survival of the female. The reduction in net reproductive rate was mainly due to the reduction of scales successfully parasitized by *A. chrysomphali* females when they searched in the same patch as *A. melinus* females compared to patches without competition. Several biological traits described previously in both parasitoids can explain the reduction in the number of scales successfully parasitized by *A. chrysomphali* when it shared the patch with its competitor. *Aphytis melinus* females tend to kill *A. chrysomphali* eggs (i.e., ovicide) before laying their own egg when they are locating a host already parasitized by *A. chrysomphali* (Cebolla et al., 2017a, b). Moreover, *A. melinus* larvae seem to be more aggressive than those of *A. chrysomphali* (Cebolla et al., 2017a, b). Therefore, *A. melinus* might have killed some of the progeny of *A. chrysomphali*, reducing its R_0 . Another non-mutually exclusive reason that may explain the reduction of *A. chrysomphali* R_0 is that females of this species might avoid using patches where *A. melinus* are searching. However, this hypothesis needs to be tested. Overall, the 50% reduction of *A. chrysomphali* R_0 when it searches in the same patch as *A. melinus* represents another result that explains the displacement of *A. chrysomphali* by *A. melinus* in southern Spain (Sorribas et al., 2010; Boyero et al. 2014). Other reasons are the lower searching capacity and tolerance to hot and dry climates of *A. chrysomphali* (Abdelrahman, 1974a, b; McLaren, 1976). Both parasitoids, however, coexist in eastern Spain where the proportion of *A. chrysomphali* increases with latitude and colder temperatures (Sorribas et al., 2010; Pekas et al., 2010, 2016). Under this scenario, we expected that the predicted increase in temperature under global warming, especially in the summer, would negatively affect the net reproductive rate of *A. chrysomphali*, accelerating its displacement by *A. melinus*. Our results, however, showed that the net reproductive rate of *A. chrysomphali* was not affected by the expected increase of temperature.

302 The expected increase in temperature in summer will hinder the efficacy of *A. melinus* as a
303 biological control agent of *A. aurantii*, as the R_0 of this parasitoid was reduced by half. The reduction
304 of *A. melinus* R_0 when temperature increased was mostly due to the reduction in the proportion of
305 females (i.e., increase of the secondary sex ratio proportion). This result is in accordance with previous

studies of hymenopteran parasitoids, which suggest that the proportion of males increases with temperature (King, 1987). The biological reasons behind the change in sex ratio are less clear. Two hypotheses might explain the increase in *A. melinus* sex ratio with temperature. First, mothers might consider high temperatures unfavourable for the development of their offspring and thus may have intentionally allocated sons, as suggested by Force and Messenger (1964) and Moiroux et al. (2014). Second, the high temperatures used in this assay might also decrease the ability of *A. melinus* to mate, as was previously observed in parasitoids exposed to hot thermal stresses (Abram et al., 2016; Jørgensen et al., 2006; Krebs and Loeschke, 1994; Patton and Krebs, 2001; Rohmer et al., 2004; Roux et al., 2010; Sisodia and Singh, 2006; Wilkes, 1963). In our assay, adult parasitoids were paired in small vials under the two selected abiotic conditions before the experiments started. Two out of the 24 couples exposed to the temperatures expected for 2035 did not produce females, whereas all couples produced at least one female under the current summer temperatures. This result suggests that mating was negatively affected by the increase in temperature but can, together with other traits, explain the increase in sex ratio. Conversely, we do not expect that host size and/or the infection of *A. melinus* by *Wolbachia* affected the sex ratio of *A. melinus* because we used hosts of similar size in the experiment (see section 2.3 in Materials and Methods) and temperatures below 30°C do not completely cure *A. melinus* of the infection (Vasquez et al., 2011). The curation of females might have produced incompatible crosses and production of males by cured females (Vasquez et al., 2011).

324 The presence of *A. chrysomphali* did not reduce *A. melinus* R0 when females of both species
325 shared the same patch. Additionally, the competition between both parasitoids mitigated the negative
326 effect of the increase in temperature on *A. melinus*. Under the summer temperatures expected for the
327 end of the twenty-first century, the introduced parasitoid had higher R0 when females competed with
328 *A. chrysomphali* than when they searched alone in a patch. Generally, high temperatures affect the
329 metabolic rate of insects and can diminish their locomotion speed and activity level (Vogt et al. 2003;
330 Irlich et al. 2009), increasing, in the case of the hymenopteran parasitoids, the handling time per
331 oviposition (Langer et al., 2004; Wu et al., 2011). The presence of a competitor could, however,
332 stimulate *A. melinus* to complete oviposition faster as occurs in the solitary egg parasitoid *Enoggera*

333*nassau* (Griault) (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) when it shares a patch with competitors (Mansfield,
3342016). Another possibility is that the presence of a competitor provoked an aggressive behaviour in *A.*
335*melinus*. There are numerous studies linking female-female competition and aggressiveness in diverse
336animal taxa (Stockley and Campbell, 2013). This aggressiveness could be reflected in direct
337aggressive behaviours towards the competing parasitoid, which would explain the reduction of *A.*
338*chrysomphali* R0 when competing together but could also be reflected in an increase in parasitism that
339could compensate for the diminution of the R0 consequence of the temperature increase.

340 Overall, our study highlights the importance of considering competition to predict the
341consequences of global warming for biological control. Our results, however, have to be interpreted
342with care, since these are based on small microcosms (cages) and, therefore, the effect of global
343warming on the dispersion capacity of both parasitoids has not been considered. Further research
344could use larger cages or flying studies under different temperatures to include their dispersion
345capacity and to confirm the results. Nevertheless, our data strongly suggests that the expected increase
346in temperature in the Mediterranean basin will negatively affect the introduced parasitoid *A. melinus*
347and, consequently, the biological control of *A. aurantii*, one of the main citrus pests (Tena and Garcia-
348Mari, 2011). Interestingly, in southern Spain and other areas where *A. melinus* has already displaced
349the native *A. chrysomphali*, the effect of global warming will be higher than in eastern Spain and other
350areas where both parasitoids coexist. This is because *A. chrysomphali* R0 will not be affected by the
351increase in temperature and competition will mitigate the negative effect of the increase in temperature
352on *A. melinus*. Several non-excluding hypotheses have been proposed to explain their coexistence in
353eastern Spain. These hypotheses include the presence of alternative hosts and climate, conditional
354patch partitioning and local weather conditions (Pina, 2007; Sorribas et al., 2010; Pekas et al., 2016;
355Cebolla et al., 2017a). If the native is finally displaced in this area, a decline in the biological control
356of *A. aurantii* can also be expected.

357

358Acknowledgements

359The authors would like to thank P. Bru (IVIA) for technical assistance with experiments. R. Cebolla
360received a pre-doctoral fellowship from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation. A. Tena
361received postdoctoral fellowships from INIA and IVIA. This work was partially funded through the
362Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (AGL2011-30538-C03-02).

363

364References

- 365Abdelrahman, I., 1974a. Growth, development and innate capacity for increase in *Aphytis*
366 *chrysomphali* Mercet and *A. melinus* DeBach, parasites de California red scale, *Aonidiella*
367 *aurantii* (Mask.), in relation to temperature. Aust. J. Zool., 22. Aust. J. Zool. 22, 213–230.
- 368Abdelrahman, I., 1974b. Studies in ovipositional behaviour and control of sex in *Aphytis melinus*
369 DeBach, a parasite of California red scale, *Aonidiella aurantii* (Mask). Aust. J. Zool. 22, 231–
370 247.
- 371Abram, P.K., Boivin, G., Moiroux, J., Brodeur, J., 2016. Behavioural effects of temperature on
372 ectothermic animals: unifying thermal physiology and behavioural plasticity. Biol. Rev. in press.
- 373Angilletta, M.J., 2009. Thermal Adaptation: A Theoretical and Empirical Synthesis, Osford, UK:
374 Oxford Univ. Press. ,
- 375Bale, J.S., Masters, G.J., Hodkinson, I.D., Awmack, C., Bezemer, T.M., Brown, V.K., Butterfield, J.,
376 Buse, A., Coulson, J.C., Farrar, J., Good, J.E.G., Harrington, R., Hartley, S., Jones, T.H.,
377 Lindroth, R.L., Press, M.C., Symrnioudis, I., Watt, A.D., Whittaker, J.B., 2002. Herbivory in
378 global climate change research: Direct effects of rising temperature on insect herbivores. Glob.
379 Chang. Biol. 8, 1–16.
- 380Berg, M.P., Toby Kiers, E., Driessen, G., van der Heijden, M., Kooi, B.W., Kuenen, F., Liefting, M.,
381 Verhoef, H.A., Ellers, J., 2010. Adapt or disperse: Understanding species persistence in a
382 changing world. Glob. Chang. Biol. 16, 587–598.

- 383 Birch, L.C., 1948. The Intrinsic Rate of Natural Increase of an Insect Population. Source J. Anim.
384 Ecol. 17, 15–26.
- 385 Borer, E.T., Murdoch, W.W., Swarbrick, S., 2004. Parasitoid coexistence: linking spatial field patterns
386 with mechanism. Ecology 85, 667–678.
- 387 Boyero, J., Vela, J., Wong, E., Garcia-Ripoll, C., Verdú, M.J., Urbaneja, A., Vanaclocha, P., 2014.
388 Displacement of *Aphytis chrysomphali* by *Aphytis melinus*, parasitoids of the California red
389 scale, in the Iberian Peninsula. Spanish. J. Agric. Res. 12, 244–251.
- 390 Carey, J., 1993. Applied demography for biologists. Oxford University Press, New York. Rev.
391 Colomb. Entomol. 106.
- 392 Cebolla, R., Bru, P., Urbaneja, A., Tena, A., 2017a. Does host quality dictate the outcome of
393 interference competition between sympatric parasitoids? Effects on their coexistence. Anim.
394 Behav. 127, 75–81.
- 395 Cebolla, R., Bru, P., Urbaneja, A., Tena, A., 2017b. Effect of host instar on host discrimination of
396 heterospecific-parasitised hosts by sympatric parasitoids. Ecol. Entom.
- 397 Collier, T., 1995. Host feeding, egg maturation, resorption, and longevity in the parasitoid *Aphytis*
398 *melinus* (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae). Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 88, 206–214.
- 399 Crawley, M.J., 2007. The R Book, in: Wiley, J. (Ed.), New York.
- 400 DeBach, P., Rosen, D., 1991. Biological control by natural enemies, Cambridge. ed, Experimental
401 Agriculture. Cambridge.
- 402 DeBach, P., Rosen, D., Kennett, C., 1971. Biological control of coccids by introduced natural
403 enemies. Biol. Control.
- 404 Force, D.C., Messenger, P.S., 1964. Force, D. C., & Messenger, P. S. (1964). Fecundity, reproductive

405 rates, and innate capacity for increase of three parasites of *Therioaphis maculata* (Buckton).
406 Ecology 45, 706–715.

407Forster, L.D., Luck, R.F., 1996. The role of natural enemies of California red scale in an IPM program
408 in California citrus.

409Godfray, H.C.J., 1994. Parasitoids. Behavioral and Evolutionary Ecology, Monographs in behavior
410 and ecology. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.

411Gottlieb, Y., Zchori-Fein, E., Faktor, O., Rosen, D., 1998. Phylogenetic analysis of parthenogenesis-
412 inducing *Wolbachia* in the genus *Aphytis* (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae). Insect Mol. Biol. 7, 393–
413 396.

414Hance, T., van Baaren, J., Vernon, P., Boivin, G., 2007. Impact of extreme temperatures on parasitoids
415 in a climate change perspective. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 52, 107–126.

416Heimpel, G., Rosenheim, J.A., Kattari, D., 1997. Adult feeding and lifetime reproductive success in
417 the parasitoid *Aphytis melinus*. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 83, 305–315.

418IPCC, 2014. Climate Change 2014: Synthesis report. Contribution of working groups I, II and III to
419 the Fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Core Writing
420 Team, R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer.

421Irlich, U. M., Terblanche, J. S., Blackburn, T. M., Chown, S. L. 2009. Insect rate-temperature
422 relationships: environmental variation and the metabolic theory of ecology. Am. Nat. 174, 819–
423 835.

424Jervis, M.A., 2005. Insects as natural enemies: A practical perspective.

425Jørgensen, K.T., Sørensen, J.G., Bundgaard, J., 2006. Heat tolerance and the effect of mild heat stress
426 on reproductive characters in *Drosophila buzzatii* males. J. Therm. Biol. 31, 280–286.

- 427King, B., 1987. Offspring sex ratios in parasitoid wasps. *Q. Rev. Biol.* 62, 367–396.
- 428Krebs, R. a, Loeschcke, V., 1994. Effects of exposure to short-term heat stress on fitness components
429 in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *J. Evol. Biol.* 7, 39–49.
- 430Langer, A., Boivin, G., Hance, T., 2004. Oviposition, flight and walking capacity at low temperatures
431 of four aphid parasitoid species (Hymenoptera : Aphidiinae). *Eur. J. Entomol.* 101: 473–479
- 432Luck, R.F., Nunney, L., 1999. A Darwinian view of host selection and its practical implications, in:
433 Hawkins, A., Cornel, H.V. (Eds.), *Theoretical approaches to biological control*. Cambridge
434 University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 283–303.
- 435Luck, R.F., Podoler, H., 1985. Competitive exclusion of *Aphytis lingnanensis* by *A. melinus*: potential
436 role of host size. *Ecology* 66, 904–913.
- 437Luck, R.F., Podoloer, H., Kfir, R., 1982. Host selection and egg allocation behaviour by *Aphytis*
438 *melinus* and *A. lignanensis*: Comparison of two facultatively gregarious parasitoids. *Ecol.*
439 *Entomol.* 7(4), 397–408.
- 440McLaren, I.W., 1976. A behavioural study of *Aphytis chrysomphali* (Mercet) and *Aphytis melinus*
441 DeBach (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae) parasitic on California red scale, *Aonidiella aurantii*. La
442 Trobe University, Victoria, Australia.
- 443Meyer, J.S., Ingersoll, C.G., McDonald, L.L., Boyce, M.S., 1986. Estimating uncertainty in population
444 growth rates: jackknife vs. bootstrap techniques. *Ecology*.
- 445Moiroux, J., Brodeur, J., Boivin, G., 2014. Sex ratio variations with temperature in an egg parasitoid:
446 Behavioural adjustment and physiological constraint. *Anim. Behav.* 91, 61–66.
- 447Moiroux, J., Lann, C. Le, Seyahooei, M.A., Vernon, P., Pierre, J.S.J.S., van Baaren, J., Van Alphen, J.J.M.,
448 2010. Local adaptations of life-history traits of a *Drosophila* parasitoid, *Leptopilina*
449 *boulardi*: does climate drive evolution? *Ecol. Entomol.* 35, 727–736.

450 Murdoch, W.W., Briggs, C.C.J., Swarbrick, S., 2005. Host suppression and stability in a parasitoid-
 451 host system: experimental demonstration. *Science* (80-.). 309, 610–613.

452 Northfield, T.D., Ives, A.R., 2013. Coevolution and the effects of climate change on interacting
 453 species. *PLoS Biol.* 11, e1001685.

454 Omer, A.D., Johnson, M.W., Tabashnik, B.E., 1996. Demography of the leafminer parasitoid
 455 *Ganaspidium utilis* Beardsley (Hymenoptera: Eucoilidae) at different temperatures. *Biol. Control*
 456 6, 29–34.

457 Patton, J.Z., Krebs, A.R., 2001. The effect of thermal stress on the mating behavior of three
 458 *Drosophila* species. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* 74, 783–788.

459 Pekas, A., Aguilar, A., Tena, A., Garcia-Marí, F., 2010. Influence of host size on parasitism by
 460 *Aphytis chrysomphali* and *A. melinus* (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae) in Mediterranean populations
 461 of California red scale *Aonidiella aurantii* (Hemiptera: Diaspididae). *Biol. Control* 55, 132–140.

462 Pekas, A., Tena, A., Harvey, J.A., Garcia-Marí, F., Frago, E., 2016. Host size and spatiotemporal
 463 patterns mediate the coexistence of specialist parasitoids. *Ecology*.

464 Pina, T., 2007. Control biológico del piojo rojo de California, *Aonidiella aurantii* (Maskell)
 465 (Hemiptera: Diaspididae) y estrategias reproductivas de su principal enemigo natural *Aphytis*
 466 *chrysomphali* (Mercet) (Hymenoptera : Aphelinidae).

467 Reeve, J., Murdoch, W.W., 1986. Biological control by the parasitoid *Aphytis melinus*, and population
 468 stability of the California red scale. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 55, 1069–1082.

469 Řežucha, R., Talebi, A.A., Zamani, A.A., Kamali, K., 2010. Effect of temperature on demographic
 470 parameters of the hawthorn red midget moth, *Phyllonorycter corylifoliella*, on apple. *J. Insect*

471 Rohmer, C., David, J.R., Moreteau, B., Joly, D., 2004. Heat induced male sterility in *Drosophila*
 472 *melanogaster*: adaptive genetic variations among geographic populations and role of the Y

473 chromosome. J. Exp. Biol. 207, 2735–2743.

474 Rosen, D., DeBach, P., 1979. Species of *Aphytis* of the world (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae). Jerusalem,
475 Israel.

476 Roux, O., Le Lann, C., van Alphen, J.J.M., van Baaren, J., 2010. How does heat shock affect the life
477 history traits of adults and progeny of the aphid parasitoid *Aphidius avenae* (Hymenoptera:
478 Aphidiidae)? Bull. Entomol. Res. 100, 543–9.

479 Sisodia, S., Singh, B.N., 2006. Effect of exposure to short-term heat stress on survival and fecundity in
480 *Drosophila ananassae*. Can. J. Zool. 84, 895–899.

481 Sorribas, J., Rodríguez, R., Garcia-Mari, F., 2010. Parasitoid competitive displacement and
482 coexistence in citrus agroecosystems: linking species distribution with climate. Ecol. Appl. 20,
483 1101–1113.

484 Stockley P., Campbell, A., 2013. Female competition and aggression: interdisciplinary perspectives.
485 R. Soc. B, 368, 20130073.

486 Tena, A., Pekas, A., Cano, D., Wäckers, F.L., Urbaneja, A., 2015. Sugar provisioning maximizes the
487 biocontrol service of parasitoids. J. Appl. Ecol. 52, 795–804.

488 Tena, A., Garcia-Mari, F., 2011. Current situation of citrus pests and diseases in the Mediterranean
489 Basin. IOBC/WPRS Bulletin, 62, 365–378.

490 Tougeron, K., Hraoui, G., Le Lann, C., van Baaren, J., Brodeur, J., 2017. Intraspecific maternal
491 competition induces summer diapause in insect parasitoids. Insect Sci. 1–9.

492 van Baaren, J., Le Lann, C., van Alphen, J.J.M., 2010. Consequences of Climate Change for Aphid-
493 Based Multi-trophic Systems, in: Kindlmann, P., Dixon, A.F.G., Michaud, J.P. (Eds.), Aphid
494 Biodiversity under Environmental Change. Springer Netherlands, pp. 55–68.

495 Vanaclocha, P., Vacas, S., Alfaro, C., Primo, J., Verdú, M.J., Navarro-Llopis, V., Urbaneja, A., 2012.
 496 Life history parameters and scale-cover surface area of *Aonidiella aurantii* are altered in a mating
 497 disruption environment: Implications for biological control. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 68, 1092–1097.

498 Vogt, J.T., Smith, W.A., Grantham, R.A., Wright R.E., 2003. Effects of temperature and season on
 499 foraging activity of red imported fire ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) in Oklahoma. *Environ.*
 500 *Entomol.* 32, 447–451.

501 Vasquez, C.J., Stouthamer, R., Jeong, G., Morse, J.G., 2011. Discovery of a CI-inducing *Wolbachia*
 502 and its associated fitness costs in the biological control agent *Aphytis melinus* DeBach
 503 (Hymenoptera : Aphelinidae). *Biol. Control* 58, 192–198.

504 Vayssade, C., Martel, V., Moiroux, J., Fauvergue, X., Van Alphen, J.J.M., Van Baaren, J., 2012. The
 505 response of life-history traits to a new species in the community: A story of *Drosophila*
 506 parasitoids from the Rhône and Saône valleys. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 107, 153–165.

507 Vuarin, P., Allemand, R., Moiroux, J., Van Baaren, J., Gibert, P., 2012. Geographic variations of life
 508 history traits and potential trade-offs in different populations of the parasitoid *Leptopilina*
 509 *heterotoma*. *Naturwissenschaften* 99, 903–912.

510 Wilkes, A., 1963. Environmental causes of variation in the sex ratio of an arrhenotokous insect,
 511 *Dahlbominus fuliginosus* (Nees) (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae). *Can. Entomol.* 95, 183–202.

512 Wu, G.M., Barrette, M., Boivin, G., Brodeur, J., Giraldeau, L-A. , Hance, T., 2011. Temperature
 513 influences the handling efficiency of an aphid parasitoid through body size-mediated effects.
 514 *Environ. Entomol.* 40, 737–742.

515

516

517

518**Table 1.** Net reproductive rate (R0) of the parasitoids *Aphytis melinus* and *A. chrysomphali* at two
 519temperatures, with and without interspecific competition.

Parasitoid species and competition	R0	
	20-26°C	23-29°C
<i>A. melinus</i>		
Without competition	8.53 ± 0.04 aA	4.64 ± 0.14 bB
Competition	7.02 ± 0.03 aB	5.93 ± 0.05 bA
<i>A. chrysomphali</i>		
Without competition	3.64 ± 0.04 aA	3.38 ± 0.03 bA
Competition	1.74 ± 0.02 bB	1.89 ± 0.02 aB

520

521Data are presented as the mean ± SE, obtained by the jackknife method. Different lowercase letters denote significant
 522differences between temperatures within a treatment (without competition and with competition) and parasitoid species at $P <$
 5230.05. Different uppercase letters denote significant differences between treatments (with and without competition) within a
 524temperature and parasitoid species at $P < 0.05$.

525

526 Figure legend

527 **Fig. 1.** Effect of temperature (20-26°C or 23-29°C) and interspecific competition on the number of hosts
528 parasitized by the parasitoids *Aphytis melinus* and *A. chrysomphali* during 72 hours. The different temperatures
529 represent the mean temperature of summer from 2009 to 2014 in the Mediterranean basin and the temperature
530 predicted by the end of the twenty-first century by the IPCC. Different letters above columns denote significant
531 differences between treatments (with and without competition) within a parasitoid species and temperature at $P <$
532 0.05.

533 **Fig. 2.** Effect of temperature (20-26°C or 23-29°C) and interspecific competition on the number of progeny of
534 the parasitoids *Aphytis melinus* and *A. chrysomphali* during 72 hours. The different temperatures represent the
535 mean temperature of summer from 2009 to 2014 in the Mediterranean basin and the temperature predicted by the
536 end of the twenty-first century by the IPCC. Different letters above columns denote significant differences
537 between treatments (with and without competition) within a parasitoid species and temperature at $P < 0.05$.

538 **Fig. 3.** Effect of temperature (20-26°C or 23-29°C) and interspecific competition on the pupa size of the
539 parasitoids *Aphytis melinus* and *A. chrysomphali*. The different temperatures represent the mean temperature of
540 summer from 2009 to 2014 in the Mediterranean basin and the temperature predicted by the end of the twenty-
541 first century by the IPCC).

542 **Fig. 4.** Effect of temperature (20-26°C or 23-29°C) and interspecific competition on the sex ratio of the
543 parasitoid *Aphytis melinus*. Presented as the mean proportion of males of *A. melinus* ($\pm$ SE). The different
544 temperatures represent the mean temperature of summer from 2009 to 2014 in the Mediterranean basin and the
545 temperature predicted by the end of the twenty-first century by the IPCC. Different letters above columns denote
546 significant differences between temperatures within the same competition treatment at $P < 0.05$.

547 **Fig. 5.** Effect of parasitoid species (*Aphytis melinus* or *A. chrysomphali*), temperature (20-26°C or 23-29°C) and
548 parasitoid competition on the mortality of their common host, *Aonidiella aurantii*. Presented as the mean number
549 of *A. aurantii* killed ($\pm$ SE) by the parasitoids during 72 hours. The different temperatures represent the mean
550 temperature of summer from 2009 to 2014 in the Mediterranean basin and the temperature predicted by the end
551 of the twenty-first century by the IPCC.